THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In the guidelines, the States, Govt. was requested to accord priority in the formulation of its proposals for the Eighth Five Year Plan to (i) economic development; (ii) human development; (iii) agriculture development. Plan aims to generate adequate employment, alleviation of poverty to meet the most essential social needs. Need for greater mobilisation of resources by the State, encouragement to private sector participation where necessary; added attention for development of backward areas through appropriately designed programmes on a Sub-Plan integrated area development approach; and more participation of local institutions and voluntary non-governmental bodies in the development effort was also stressed. The State Government has by and large followed these guidelines in the formulation of its proposals for the Eighth Five Year Plan.

(c) and (d) Eighth Five Year Plan of the state has not been finalised.

New Formula for distribution of Central Assistance

1731. DR. SANJAYA SINH: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the new formula proposed by the Planning Commission for distribution of Central Assistance to the States during the Eighth Plan period had evoked divergent reactons from the Chief Ministers of different States as per the news item entitled "Cong-ruled States for more changes in aid formula", which appeared in the Hindustan Times of December 24, 1991; and

(b) if so, the details of the changes proposed in the new formula by the States, with State-wise reactions?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) No, Sir. Keeping in view the suggestions made by some of the Chief Ministers, the NDC approved the formula for distribution of Central Assistance as follows:

I. From the total Central assistance setting apart the funds, required for externally-aided-schemes, as is now being done;

II. providing from the balance, reasonable amounts for Special Area Programmes, viz.,

(a) Hill Areas;

(b) Tribal areas;

(c) Border areas; and

(d) N.E.C.;

III. keeping from the balance 30 percent for the ten Special Category States; and

IV. allocating the balance among the fifteen non-Special Category States as per the following Criteria;

आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना के लिए बिहार को आबंटन

1732. **श्री राम अवधेश सिंह :** क्या योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) वित्तीय वर्ष 1990–91 और 1991–92 के दौरान बिहार को कितनी राशि वार्षिक योजना के लिए दी गई, उसमें से कितनी राशि बिहार सरकार ने वर्षवार खर्च की और कितनी राशि वापस लौटायी;

(ख) आठवीं योजना काल में बिहार को कितनी राशि दी जाएगी ताकि बिजली आदि की कमी को पूरा करके औद्योगिकरण का बुनियादी ढांचा खड़ा किया जा सके; और

(ग) पंजाब के औद्योगिकरण की बराबरी करने के लिए विशाल जनसंख्या, खनिजों और लौह एवं अलौह धातुओं की प्रचुरता को देखते हुए बिहार को 1996 तक और 1999 तक कितनी बिजली की जरूरत होगी?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज): (क) बिहार की वार्षिक योजना 1990–91 के लिए अनुमोदित परिव्यय 1805 करोड़ रुपये था। राज्य सरकार के अनुरोध पर अनुमोदित परिव्यय को घटा कर 1400 करोड़ रुपये कर दिया गया। राज्य सरकार द्वारा दी गई रिपोर्ट के अनुसार 1991–92 के लिए वास्तविक व्यय 1470.49 करोड़ रुपये था।

वार्षिक योजना 1991–92 के लिए अनुमोदित परिव्यय 2251 करोड़ रुपये था। वार्षिक योजना 1992–93 के लिए विचार-विमर्श के दौरान, राज्य सरकार ने बताया था कि उन्हें 1530 करोड़ रुपये के व्यय होने की प्रत्याशा है। 1991–92 के लिए वास्तविक व्यय का वर्ष के व्यतीत हो जाने पर ही पता चल सकेगा।

(ख) बिहार की आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना के लिए आबंटन को अभी अंतिम रूप दिया जाना है।

(ग) 1996–97 और 1999–2000 के दौरान केन्द्रीय विद्युत प्राधिकरण के 14वें वार्षिक ऊर्जा सर्वेक्षण द्वारा मूल्यांकन किए गए अनुसार बिहार की ऊर्जा की आवश्यकता निम्न प्रकार है:–

	अपेक्षित ऊर्जा (मैगावाट किलोवाट)	
	1996–97	1999–2000
बिहार (ईएनएस सी; डीवीसी)	12190	

Special Central Assistance for the Development of Hill Areas in Eastern Ghat

1733. DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of Andhra Pradesh has requested the Central Government to sanction special central assistance for the implementation of Hill Areas Development Programme in Eastern Ghat; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken by the Central Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Yes, Sir. Government of Andhra Pradesh have sought inclusion of some of its Hill Areas under Hill Areas Development Programme (HADP).

(b) It has been decided not to include any new hill areas under HADP.

Cut in Plan allocation to Pondicherry

1734. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Planning Commission revised the plan allocations for the 8th Five Year Plan to Pondicherry and also Budget allocations; and

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Government of Pondicherry is mobilising additional funds as per the directors of the Central Govern- and inspite its allocations - were cut?

THE MI-
THE